

Asia Pacific Journal of Contemporary Education and Communication Technology ISBN (eBook): 978 D 9943656 8 2 | ISSN : 2205-6181 Year: 2017 , Volume: 3, Issue: 1



SENSITIVITY DOMAIN OF LEARNING FOR SUSTAINABLE FUTURE

Dr Prasanth Mathew^a, Dr Priya Mathew^b, Ms. Jessy N.C. ^c ^{ac}P.K.M. College of Education, Madampam, Kannur, Kerala, India ^bSt Joseph's College of Education, Jayalakshmipuram, Karnataka, India *Corresponding email*: drprasanthmathew@gmail.com

Abstract

The complex and intimate relationships among the technological products we consume calls for a sensitive attitude towards the values that underpin them. A blind eye towards the inevitable balance of the biosphere that sustains us can prove disastrous for us in the future. Majority of the social, moral, environmental and health issues of human life arise out of our lack of a responsive, caring and compassionate disposition towards various interacting elements associated to these realms.

Scientific sensitivity characterised by a sense of responsibility, sense of caring, compassion, empathy, respect, sense of belongingness, sense of critical awareness and sense of problem solving must be inculcated in pupils for solving various scientific issues. This can be done by raising them from mere 'awareness level' to the 'sensitisational level' whereby they become 'responsible citizens' of the world through an 'attitudinal shift' from egocentric ethic to planetary ethic.

In the paper, the authors suggest the possibility of a new domain of learning – the **Sensitivity Domain** which aims at a shift from mere 'level of awareness' to a 'level of sensitivity' through 'personal experience' and which enables the exploration and realisation of the *interrelationship, interdependence and the interconnectedness* of the various systems of the universe. The paper recommends important pedagogic strategies, both formal and informal, for developing scientific sensitivity in students. It also illustrates various models of science learning designed to sensitise students to conserve the resources and to discard the harmful.

Keywords: Sensitivity Domain, Scientific Sensitivity, Sustainable Future.

1. Introduction

We live today in a globally interconnected world in which physical, biological, psychological, social and environmental phenomena are all interdependent. An indiscriminate use of natural resources can disrupt the "complex webs of relationships and multiple interdependencies" among various systems of the universe. Human encroachment and exploitation of natural resources has disrupted the biodiversity which has led to the environmental crisis of climate change and global warming. This has posed a serious threat to the healthy existence of human and non-human species on earth.

However, it is inappropriate to regard environmental problems as matters of mere careless industrialization and inexpert management of natural resources which can be solved by experts and officials. Environmental problems are not "problems 'out there' in our surroundings, but problems 'in here', in the way we choose to make sense of the world. They are pre-eminently social problems - problems of people, their life styles and their relations with the natural world". "Environmental problems will not 'go away' nor will they be solved by a quick 'technical fix' while we blithely maintain our profligate life style". I must change the way I live. Changing my way of life entails changing my values in favor of an orientation towards "the organic, the gentle, the nonviolent, the elegant and beautiful" (Shumacher, 1973).

Anthropocentric thinking and the consequent objectification of nature has been argued as the root cause of the global environmental crisis (Corcoran & Sievers, 1994; Russel & Bell, 1996). By objectifying nature, people absolve themselves of any moral responsibility for the care and preservation of the natural environment and justify their continued exploitation of the natural resources and other life forms. Hodson (2003) advocates the replacing of anthropocentrism with a *biocentric* ethic comprising the following elements: all things in the biosphere have intrinsic value and an equal right to exist alongside humans; the natural world is not just a resource for human use; all life forms are inextricably interconnected (Russel, 1997). Adopting such an ethic means having respect for the intrinsic value of all living things, cultivating a sense of compassion and caring towards both human and non human species, having a concern for maintaining the existence of biological and cultural diversity and challenging and rejecting all forms of discrimination. Appreciating interconnectedness means acquiring an understanding of the relationship that exist between all the natural and human made systems, recognizing that all human actions have consequences that will affect a complex global system that includes human and nonhuman species, having an awareness of and acting on choices to maintain an ecologically sound and humane life style. Laszlo (2001) describes the inculcation of this clutch of values as developing a 'planetary ethic'- an ethic which respects the conditions under which all people in the world community can live in dignity and freedom, without destroying each other's chances of livelihood, culture, society and environment.

The authors of Science For All Americans (AAAS, 1989) direct attention towards scientific literacy for a more socially compassionate and environmentally responsible democracy when they state that science can provide knowledge 'to develop effective solutions to its global and local problems' and can foster 'the kind of intelligent respect for nature that should inform decisions on the uses of technology and without which , they say, 'we are in danger of recklessly destroying our life support system'. Regrettably, they do not go on to suggest that scientific literacy also includes the capacity and willingness to act in environmentally responsible and socially just ways. The Scottish Consultative Council on the Curriculum (SCCC, 1996) adopted the term scientific capability instead of scientific literacy. Scientific capability is described in terms of five distinct, but clearly interrelated aspects; scientific curiosity-an enquiring habit of mind; scientific competence-ability to investigate scientifically; scientific understanding-understanding of scientific ideas and the way science works; scientific *creativity* – ability to think and act creatively; and scientific *sensitivity*critical awareness of the role of science in society, combined with a caring and responsible disposition. Hence, becoming "scientifically capable" involves the development of personal qualities and attitudes, the formulation of one's own views on a wide range of issues that have a scientific and technological dimension and the establishment of an underlying value position. A person who is scientifically capable is not only knowledgeable and skilled, but is also able to draw together and apply her/his resources of knowledge and skill, creatively and with sensitivity, in response to an issue, problem or phenomenon.

2. Re-orienting Pedagogic Approaches

The present day education has adopted *fragmented approaches to reasoning*, negating the possibility of a deep connection between humans and nature and the very notion of stewardship, which provides one with 'a sense of responsibility towards the dynamic web of relationship in this universe' is erased. Since we have either refused or been unable to see the *interdependence* of things, social alienation and environmental decay have occurred (Miller, 1999). One of the purposes of fragmented education which 'took away the parts from

Asia Pacific Journal of Contemporary Education and Communication Technology (APJCECT) ISBN: 978 o 9943656 82; ISSN: 2205-6181 Year: 2017, Volume: 3, Issue: 1 www.apiar.org.au

the whole' was to make *understanding better*, but in doing so the connections were destroyed which changed our perspectives of the whole. Modern schools concentrate mainly on *thinking* and 'processing information' without any regard for the experience of 'being'. This, in turn, has led to our failure in understanding ourselves holistically in all profundity. This has also resulted in the lack of resilience in children and adolescents in the face of stress.

Educators have a crucial role to play in enabling pupils to recognize the 'wholeness of their being', which would make them 'sensitive' to their part in the *complex web of relationships* among human and non-human species and various other systems of the universe. A creative curriculum, where children can **experience and sense the world in its natural state** can awaken pupils to 'responsible stewardship' (taking care of the earth), characterized by a strong sense of responsibility towards the dynamic web of relationships in this universe. Learning activities that provide a **'personal' experience of the beauty and benevolence of nature** can instill in the pupils a feeling of 'oneness' with it which can lead to an 'inner urge' for the *conservation* of forests, ponds, the sacred groves, various plant and animal species and other natural resources that influence our lives in various ways. In forging a lasting relationship with planet earth, we need to teach a new set of **three R's** regarding this relationship (Abijan, 2008):

- a) 'Respect' which means 'honouring' the defined ways of acting towards the relationship.
- b) **'Responsibility'** which demands a responsive attitude and 'loyal fulfillment' of the responsibilities to each other and to the relationship itself.
- c) 'Reverence' which means considering the relationship 'sacred'.

Education *in* and *through* the environment can play a substantial role in **personal** exploration of the interconnectedness of the various systems of the universe. Hodson (1999) advocates the idea of *'getting a feel for the environment'*- building a sense of ecological relationships through powerful emotional experience 'in the field'.

In all our attempts to create awareness of 'modern science' of the earth through scientific explanations about it, we ought to keep a place for the unexplained - the silence and majesty of the forest, mountains and seashore; the spirituality of the caves, volcanoes and trees which would lead to a sense of awe and wonder in children rather than seeing them merely as products of erosion. This is what many indigenous people around the world have never lost: **a sense of unity between humanity and the environment** which is indispensable in our progression from '**the level of awareness' of nature to 'the level of sensitivity' to nature.**

Keeping this in view, the researchers developed a **Curricular Transaction Design** for the *Next Generation Science Teachers (Science Teacher^{NG}) which was* adopted in the Department of Physical Science, P.K.M. College of Education, Kannur University, India from 2010-11 B.Ed. (Bachelor of Education) batch to 2015-17 batch.

3. Curricular Transaction Design: Science Teacher NG

The "Curricular Transaction Design: Science Teacher^{NG}" (Figure:1) developed by the researchers point to the four major issues in Science Learning in secondary school classrooms; the Curricular Transaction processes adopted to solve the issues and the Curricular Products or Pedagogical solutions to the issues.



Asia Pacific Institute of Advanced Research (APIAR)

Asia Pacific Journal of Contemporary Education and Communication Technology (APJCECT) ISBN: 978 0 9943656 82; ISSN: 2205-6181 Year: 2017, Volume: 3, Issue: 1 www.apiar.org.au

Figure: 1. Curricular Transaction Design: Science Teacher^{NG}

3.1 Issue: Sensitisational Learning of Science

The present paper concentrates on the Curricular transaction processes (Figure: 2) which was adopted to solve the issue of lack of "Sensitisational Learning of Science" and the curricular products or pedagogical solutions (Figure:3) to the issue.



Figure 2: Portfolio of Curricular Transaction Process



Figure no.3: Portfolio of Curricular Products

4. Sensitivity Domain of Learning

Based on the Curricular Transaction Processes on the Issue of Sensitisational Learning of Science, a new domain of learning **"Sensitivity Domain**" has been designed by the researchers for sensitized learning of science. Sensitivity domain intends to empower learners from the "level of awareness" to the "level of Sensitivity" to Social, Moral, Environmental and Health issues.

 ${}^{\rm Page}24$

Asia Pacific Journal of Contemporary Education and Communication Technology (APJCECT) ISBN: 978 o 9943656 82; ISSN: 2205-6181 Year: 2017, Volume: 3, Issue: 1 www.apiar.org.au

4.1 Sensitivity Domain: Levels

The progressive levels of learning that come under the sensitivity domain are illustrated in Figure 4 and Table 1.

Awareness of the issue
Intelligent understanding of the issue
Feel for the Environment
Personalisation of the issue
Decision to change the attitude/behaviour.
Responsible Action

Figure 4: Sensitivity Domain: Levels

Table 1:	Sensitivity	Domain:	Levels
rubic 1.	Densitivity	Domain.	LUVUID

; to be ed					
Air					
es Chemical					
es, enemieur					
.5 boit drinks,					
Causes Consequences					
Solutions					
nity hetween					
inty between					
Feel about environment					
to					
Feel for the environment					

Asia Pacific Journal of Contemporary Education and Communication Technology (APJCECT) ISBN: 978 0 9943656 82; ISSN: 2205-6181 Year: 2017, Volume: 3, Issue: 1

	SENSI	TISE TO CON	SERVE	A CON	CEPTUAL MC	DDEL	
	LEVEL OF AWARENESS	PHASES OF SENSITISATION			OUTCOMES		
	End 4 E's	Experience Explore 4 B's 3 I's		Develop 3 R's	Experience 4 W's		
	• Encroachment • Exploitation • Extinction • Endangerment	• Beauty • Blessings • Benevolence • Biodiversity	• Interre • Interde • Interco	lationship pendence nnectedness	• Respect • Responsibility • Reverance	 Physical Well being Emotional Well being Psychological Well Being Spiritual Well being 	
	• of Nature	• of Nature	●of Nature		• in relationship with Nature	• of human beings	
Level	Personalizatio	n of the issue					
3:				1			
	How it affe	ets Human Life? Social Responsibility			l ibility		
	to to				ionity		
	How it affects my life? Personal Responsibility						
Level	Decision to change the attitude or behavior						
4:	Radical shift in s	tudent values	N	The second			
	Care ab	out	Sympathy		Anthrop	Anthropocentrism	
	to	2	to		-	to	
	Care f	for 👌	Em	pathy	Bioc	entrism	
		A S			Dlanat	to	
Level	Responsible ad	rtion		18	Planet	ary ethics	
5:	Responsible at						
		Incorporat sustainabl practices	Tre sett Act	nd ing R ion Char the sty	evolutionary steps nging life yle		

4.2 Sensitivity Domain: Pedagogical Models

The researchers designed three pedagogical models of Sensitivity Domain of learning for sensitized learning of science (Table 2). Model 1 has been designed based on critical analysis of the causes of environmental issues; Model 2 and 3 on the basis of creative analysis of the consequences of environmental issues.

Asia Pacific Journal of Contemporary Education and Communication Technology (APJCECT) ISBN: 978 o 9943656 82; ISSN: 2205-6181 Year: 2017, Volume: 3, Issue: 1 www.apiar.org.au



Asia Pacific Journal of Contemporary Education and Communication Technology (APJCECT) ISBN: 978 0 9943656 82; ISSN: 2205-6181 Year: 2017, Volume: 3, Issue: 1 www.apiar.org.au

	Spiritual Well being Social Wel being	Lives of creatures in Nature Ecosystem Consequences Weather		
Model 2	Sensitise to Conserve / Av	nid - Creative Thinking model		
Model 2.	Awareness of the issue	Phase 1. Understanding the existing reality		
	the issue	Thinking creatively on a condition contrary to the existing reality Phase 2. Comparing the existing reality with the creative expression		
	Feel for the Environment	「日本」		
	Personalization of the issue			
	Decision to change	2		
Example:	Responsible Action While learning the environmental issues Deforestation Water pollution Air pollution Learners think creatively on conditions like; What would happen if: there were no plants? there was no water to drink? there were frequent acid rains? They compare the existing reality with the creative expression			
model 3.	Awaranass of the issue	nu-compare and contrast model		
	Awareness of the issue	Phase 1		
	the issue	Argumentative discussions on preferences for Natural and Artificial resources		
		Phase 2. Arriving at sagacious judgments based on consequences		
	Feel for the Environment			
	Personalization of the issue			

 ${}^{\rm Page}28$

	Decision to change
	Responsible Action
Example:	Learners compare and contrast the effects of the use of Natural and
	Chemical Fertilizers
	They arrive at sagacious judgments based on the aftermaths

Conclusion

The crucial challenges faced by the world today relating to social, moral, environmental and health issues pertain to the lack of a sensitive attitude to these issues. The same is true in the case of education also. Science Learning devoid of sensitivity can lead to major catastrophes. The sensitivity domain of learning designed by the researchers; the curricular transaction processes adopted; the curricular products of the transaction process; the levels of learning of the sensitivity domain and the pedagogical models of the sensitivity domain are initiative steps for sensitized learning of science. It highlights the scope of further researches for development of lesson transcripts, curriculum designing and teacher training for sensitized learning of science.

References

- i. Abijan,R. N., 2008. Re-arranging the Fragments: Towards the Ecology of Education. In *Building* a Culture of Peace for a Civil Society, Proceedings of the 12th World Conference on Education, Sue & Clay (ed.), Manila, Philipines.
- ii. American Association for the Advancement of Science (AAAS). 1989. *Science for All Americans*. A project 2061 report on the Literary Goals in Science, Mathematics and Technology. Washington, DC:AAAS.
- iii. Corcoran, P. &Sievers, E., 1994. Reconceptualizing Environmental Education: Five possibilities. *Journal of Environmental Education*, 25(4), pp. 4-8.
- iv. Hodson, D., 1999. Going Beyond Cultural Pluralism: Science Education for Sociopolitical Action. *Science Education*, 83(6), pp. 775-796.
- v. Hodson, D., 2003. Time for Action; Science Education for an Alternative Future. *International Journal of Science Education*, 25(6), pp. 645-70.
- vi. Laszlo, E., 2001. *Macroshift: Navigating the Transformation to a Sustainable World*.San Francisco, GA: Berrett-Koehler.
- vii. Miller, J. P., 1999. Education and the Soul. In *Education, Information and Transformation Essays* on *Learning and Thinking*, Kane, J. (ed.), New Jersey: Prentice Hall, pp. 201-221.
- viii. Russel,C. L., 1997. Approaches to Environmental Education: Toward a Transformative Perspective. *Holistic Education Review*, 10(1), pp. 34-40.
- ix. Russell, C. L. & Bell, A. C., 1996. Politicized Ethic of Care: Environmental Learning from an Eco Feminist Perspective. In *Women's Voices in Experiential Education*, Warren, K. (ed.), Dubuque, IA: Kendall Hunt.
- x. Schumacher, E. F., 1973. *Small is Beautiful: A Study of Economics as if People Mattered*. London: Bond& Briggs.
- xi. Scottish Consultative Council on the Curriculum (SCCC). 1996. *Science Education on Scottish Schools: Looking to the Future*. Broughty Ferry: SCCC.